```
0001
01
02
03
04
                 PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING
        U.S. IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE
05
06
                  JOINT TASK FORCE SIX
07
08
09
10
11
                SUPPLEMENTAL PROGRAMMATIC
12
          ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (PEIS)
13
14
15
                        FALL 1998
16
17
                   September 17, 1998
18
19
20
21
22
 2.3
2.4
25 (23812)
0002
01
              MR. RUFFENNACH: Good evening, ladies
02 and gentlemen. Thank you very much for coming
    this evening. I understand we're competing with
    a couple of other meetings in town this evening.
05 We appreciate you taking time to come to this
06 one.
07
              This is a public scoping meeting on the
08 draft Programmatic Impact Statement, or PEIS, for
09 Joint Task Force 6 and INS missions, primarily
10 along the southwest border. My name is Ron
11 Ruffennach, and I will be officiating this
12 evening's meeting.
13
              Before a decision is made to implement
14 any programs and accompanying strategies, JTF6
15 and INS must do a complete analysis of them.
16 This type of broad analysis is referred to as a
17
    Programmatic EIS, rather than a more commonly
18 known EIS, which analyzes a specific project
19 proposed by the government.
20
              Part of the process involves receiving
 21 public comment during the early phase of the
 22 development of the PEIS, and this is called
23 scoping. And that is what we're here this
24 evening to do.
25
              At scoping meetings we ask for public
0003
01 input on the scope or range of issues that should
02 be considered for analysis when writing the
03 PEIS. Comments received during scoping will be
04 considered in this document when it is prepared
```

05 and released to the public in a draft form. 06 We have two goals tonight, to provide 07 you information about JTF6 and INS activities, 08 but more importantly to receive your comments for 09 consideration in the PEIS. This will help the 10 JTF6 and INS folks address all concerns about the 11 proposed actions. 12 Before introducing the speakers this 13 evening, I'd like to explain my role in the meeting. My purpose is to ensure that we have a 15 fair, orderly meeting and that all who wish to be 16 heard will have an opportunity to do so. 17 Our speakers for this evening are 18 Mr. Joe Harris, who is the Marfa sector Assistant 19 Chief with the border patrol, and he will talk 20 about the current needs and activities of the 21 Marfa sector. 22 He will be followed by John Esquibel 23 from the Headquarters Bureau, border patrol, who 24 will give a brief history and provide a national 25 perspective of border patrol activities. 0004 01 He will be followed by Lieutenant 0.2 Colonel Steve Tennant from Joint Task Force Six, who will discuss the military role in 0.3 04 construction projects along the border. 05 Mr. Chris Ingram will then discuss the 06 National Environmental Policy Act process and 07 give the timeline for the development of the 8 0 Programmatic EIS. 09 Also with us this evening is a court 10 reporter who is documenting and will provide a 11 verbatim transcript of this evening's meeting. 12 You can comment at this evening's 13 meeting in a couple of different ways. You can provide us your written comments. There will be 15 a time line that will show you how long we will 16 be taking those written comments, or you may 17 present your comments this evening orally. 18 Normally we would have a microphone, if this was a larger room, and we'd ask you to come to the 20 mike and address your comments. For purposes of 21 this evening's meeting, for those people who have 22 identified themselves to speak, we will just ask 23 for you to stand at your place and you can make 24 your comments from where you're standing. We 25 will, however, maybe address a little more detail 0005 01 about how we're going to handle the comment 02 period once we hear from our speakers. 03 With that introduction, let me turn it 04 over to Joe Harris, and he'll welcome you and 05 also give you the Marfa border patrol sector 06 activities. 07 MR. HARRIS: Good evening, folks. 08 behalf of our Sector Chief Sammy Garza here in 09 Marfa, I'd like to welcome everybody to the

10 scoping meeting and give you a brief rundown on 11 where the Marfa sector fits into the strategic 12 plan.

13 As illegal immigration becomes more and 14 more important to America, Marfa sector itself 15 will continually realize more and more resources in our area. Our efforts to utilize those resources in the most effective way possible will 17 18 require infrastructure. This infrastructure will 19 require permanent structures, roads, fences, 20 lights, all types of construction projects that 21 are going to require environmental impact studies 22 of the area.

In that effort of our actual border control, it combines our efforts with JTF6, Joint Task Force Six, which is the military, and we

01 will utilize those units for construction and 02 other efforts throughout the border region.

Past that, I'll turn it over to John 04 Esquibel, and he'll give you a brief breakdown of the national strategic plan of Operation Rio 06 Grande and where we fit into that.

MR. ESQUIBEL: Thank you.

Good evening, my name is John Esquibel. I'm an Assistant Chief assigned to the United States Border Patrol Headquarters in Washington, D.C. I've only been in Marfa a few hours, and it's probably the best kept secret in the border patrol.

The border patrol was first organized in 1904 by the then Commissioner General of Immigration, and were referred to as "Border Guards." Every once in awhile the President still calls us border guards, but we are border patrol agents. It was established in 1924 by the Bureau of Immigration as the United States Border 21 Patrol and originally was part of the Department 22 of Labor, but since 1940 it has been a part of the Department of Justice, and we do serve under the Immigration and Naturalization Service.

We have 21 border patrol sectors

25 0007 01

02

03

0.4

23

23

24

25

0006

03

05

07

0.8

09

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

> throughout the United States, including Puerto Rico, and we have the headquarters for the border patrol in Washington, D.C., an academy in Glynco, Georgia, with two satellites, one in Charleston,

05 South Carolina and one in Artesia, New Mexico. 06 The mission of the border patrol, as 07 it's stated, is to protect the external 08 boundaries of the United States between the ports of entry, to prevent or deter illegal entry, to 10 detect, interdict, apprehend undocumented 11 entrants, smugglers, narcotics, contraband and 12 violators of others laws. We are designated the 13 lead agency responsible for drug seizures along

14 the United States border between the ports of

15 entry. 16 Our operations include linewatch and 17 sign cutting, traffic checkpoints, transportation 18 check, air operations, marine patrol, horse and 19 bike patrol and our canine program. 20 The national border patrol strategy 21 calls for "Prevention through Deterrence." It's 22 a multiyear approach in which we want to, "Gain, 23 Maintain and Extend Control." 24 We have four operations currently in 25 place. The first one started in 1993 in El Paso, 8000 01 Texas. It was called Operation Hold the Line. 02 It's still in existence. 03 After Hold the Line, we commenced 04 Operation Gatekeeper, which encompassed the 05 San Diego sector, central sector and Yuma 06 sector. 07 Operation Safeguard then started after 08 Operation Gatekeeper, which is part of the Tucson 09 sector's operation. 10 And last August, we began Operation Rio 11 Grande, which encompasses the Marfa sector, 12 Laredo, Del Rio and McAllen sectors. 13 The apprehensions that we have as of 14 May of this year, from October '97 to May of this 15 year, for the southwest border, are 1,042,227, of which the Marfa sector is responsible for 9,932 17 of those apprehensions. 18 Currently, again from October to July 19 of this year, Marfa sector has approximately 146 20 agents assigned to the sector. 21 As mentioned earlier, we are the 22 primary agency responsible for drug apprehension 23 between the ports of entry. Last fiscal year we 24 apprehended approximately 52 percent of all drug 25 seizures from all federal agencies combined, of 0009 01 which Marfa sector this year has approximately 02 252 drug seizures, and that's from October '97 to 03 July 4 of this year, of which approximately 04 32,504 pounds of it was marijuana, 52 pounds of 05 cocaine, for a total street value of 06 \$28,733,069. 07 Nationwide the border patrol, during 08 the same time period, has seized approximately 09 14,994 pounds of cocaine, and 705,715 pounds of 10 marijuana. 11 I just want to highlight some seizures 12 that we had in the months of July and August. 13 They're significant, but by no means are they 14 unusual. This occurs literally every day that we 15 operate on the southwest border. 16 On July 13, agents working in 17 Brownsville seized 2,501 pounds of marijuana with 18 a street value of \$2,000,800.

On July 22, agents in Laredo seized

19

20 2,534 pounds of marijuana, street value of 21 \$2,027,200. 22 And on July 17, agents in Rio Grande 23 City seized 1,674 pounds of marijuana, street 24 value of \$1,339,000. 25 Last month agents, along with the 0010 01 United States Customs Service, conducted a joint 02 operation in El Paso in which they seized 1,705 pounds of marijuana and 35 pounds of 04 methamphetamine with a street value of \$1,364,000 05 and \$1,120,000, respectively. 06 On August 8, agents in Laredo seized 07 500 pounds of cocaine with a street value of 80 \$16,016,000. 09 And on August 10, agents with the 10 United States Customs Service seized 1,214 pounds 11 of marijuana with a street value of \$971,880. 12 As mentioned earlier, it's an everyday 13 thing, although they are significant in their 14 size, they are not unusual. 15 Our success and our accomplishments can 16 be attributed to our national strategy, the 17 operations that we currently conduct, and the 18 strategies that we've implemented since 1993. 19 look forward to continuing our operations and 20 changing our strategy to meet the current needs. 21 We also were grateful to have the assistance of 22 Joint Task Force Six because they've assisted us 23 with engineering support that has saved the 24 Immigration and Naturalization Service, and most 25 importantly the American taxpayer, millions and 0011 01 millions of dollars, and we look forward to that 02 partnership with JTF6. Thank you for your time. Please welcome Lieutenant Colonel Steve Tennant. 03 04 LIEUTENANT COLONEL TENNANT: I'm not 05 nearly so gifted a public speaker as 06 Mr. Esquibel, so please bear with me. I'm going 07 to read my remarks. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My 0.8 09 name is Lieutenant Colonel Steve Tennant. I am the Staff Engineer for Joint Task Force 6. I am 10 11 here tonight to provide you information regarding 12 what we do, how we do it, and the purpose for 13 what we do. By the end of this presentation I 14 hope you will have a greater understanding of our organization, our purpose, and how we support the 16 various Federal, State and local law enforcement 17 agencies in their efforts to stop the flow of 18 drugs into our community. 19 This is the sequence I will use to 20 explain JTF6 and how we operate. We will start 21 with the National Drug Control Strategy and goals 22 put forth by the President, explain the 23 involvement of the Department of Defense and 24 JTF6, what the mission of our organization is,

25 and every type of support we provide. 0012 01 The statistics you see here give a 02 broad view of the national drug problem. Illegal 03 drug use is responsible for a significant amount 04 of criminal activity and poses a serious public safety and public health problem for governments 06 at all levels. An estimated \$83 billion per year 07 is spent by governmental agencies to address 08 drug-related problems, and \$67 billion goes to 09 social programs such as treatment and education. 10 An estimated \$16 billion is spent on law 11 enforcement efforts each year. 12 Drugs impact on the productivity of our 13 work force, disrupt the educational system in our 14 country, have a significant impact on law and 15 order in our communities, affect our families, 16 and place a burden on our health care system. 17 address these issues the Office of Drug Control 18 Policy, working at the direction of the 19 President, formulated and published a National 20 Drug Control Strategy which defines our national 21 plan to combat and treat drug use and assigns 22 goals and objectives by which we can measure the 2.3 success of our efforts. 24 These are the goals laid out in the 25 strategy published in February of 1998. 0013 01 Department of Defense and JTF6 contribute to the attainment of these goals by providing support to law enforcement efforts to reduce drug-related 03 04 crime and violence, to shield America's land, sea 05 and air frontiers, and to break the foreign and 06 domestic sources of supply. The national 07 objective is to reduce drug use and availability 08 50 percent by the year 2007. Just to reemphasize 09 -- we highlighted them in yellow -- JTF6 and DoD, 10 contribute only to goals 2, 4 and 5 out of the 11 five total. 12 JTF6 is a Department of Defense task 13 force established in 1989 to provide support to 14 law enforcement agencies on the southwest 15 border. Since 1989, our responsibilities have 16 grown to include the entire continental United 17 States. The main focus of our support remains 18 with the four southwest border states, where over 19 80 percent of our missions occur. Our most 20 recent mission statement, approved earlier this 21 year, is Joint Task Force 6 synchronizes and 22 integrates Department of Defense operational, 23 technological, training and intelligence support 24 to drug law enforcement agencies, counterdrug 25 efforts in the continental United States to

01 reduce the availability of illegal drugs. 02 This slide shows you specific

0014

03 legislation by the Congress which establishes

04 JTF6 and provides the legal constraints under 0.5 which we operate. To summarize the various laws 06 you see here, they authorize the Department of 07 Defense to conduct training exercises in Drug 08 Interdiction Areas, to provide support to the 09 counterdrug efforts, and assist with the 10 detection and monitoring of cross-border 11 smuggling activities.

12

16

17

19 20

14

17

18

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

0016

The points you see here are the 13 restrictions we operate under. All support must 14 be at the written request of law enforcement and 15 must have a specific counterdrug nexus or linkage clearly articulated. All JTF6 activities must comply with the Posse Comitatus Act (as amended) 18 which prohibits the use of U.S. military forces for domestic police functions. We may not violate the sanctity of the United States-Mexico 21 border. We must follow rules of engagement which 22 only allow use of force in self-defense. 23 Currently, no JTF6 operations are authorized to 24 carry weapons, and all security is provided by 25 the supportive law enforcement agency. We are 0015

01 prohibited from collection and maintaining 0.2 information on U.S. persons, and undergo 03 independent intelligence oversight inspections to 04 ensure compliance with this restriction. We may 05 conduct no operations on private land without the 06 express written permission of the landowner. 07 funds can only be expended on counterdrug 08 support. As you probably are aware, ground 09 missions, which I will describe later, are under 10 suspension by order of the Secretary of Defense. 11 We still provide a wide range of operational 12 training, engineer and general support to law 13 enforcement.

This slide sums up our involvement in 15 the counterdrug effort. We provide support but 16 are not police. We do not search people or property, seize contraband or make arrests.

This slide shows you our process for 19 providing support. All requests are processed through Operation Alliance, an agency made up of Federal, State and local law enforcement representatives who validate counterdrug nexus and provide us with our working priorities. JTF6 conducts an independent legal review, and once the mission is approved by our command group we

01 solicit for volunteer units to participate.

These units sign up for the training benefit they

receive, which closely matches specific skills

04 required for their wartime mission. The mission

05 is planned, briefed for approval to JTF6 and the

06 Law Enforcement Agency, and formal orders are

07 issued to begin the support. After each

08 operation, an after action review is conducted by

09 the unit with the law enforcement agency and JTF6 10 to capture any lessons learned.

11 This shows the operational missions we 12 are authorized to perform. Ground observation 13 and reconnaissance using military forces to watch 14 smuggling routes or patrol remote areas to locate 15 marijuana plots or narcotics labs. Both of these 16 missions are under the suspension I spoke of 17 earlier, and we conduct none of these operations 18 at this time. Aerial observation is the use of 19 DoD aircraft to spot drug growing areas or labs 20 and to monitor smuggling corridors. Any 21 information or activity is immediately passed to 22 law enforcement for action. Sensor missions 23 involve monitoring smuggling corridors to detect 24 and report activity to law enforcement. Ground 25 sensor operations are covered by the suspension.

0017

12

15

16

17

19

01 We also provide medical evacuation support and 02 transportation of supplies and equipment. 03 Controlled delivery is best described by 04 example. Often, law enforcement agencies request 05 our support in moving captured drugs from the point of arrest to the smuggling destination in 07 order to make arrests at that end. We provide 08 them with transportation, with vehicles of seized 09 drug loads under law enforcement supervision. 10 do not get involved in the chain of custody of 11 any evidence.

Our engineers provide a wide range of 13 assessment services to include project design, 14 surveys, cost estimating, environmental assessments and assistance with master planning of infrastructure. Our primary construction missions are border roads, fences and lights 18 between the U.S. ports of entry along the southwest border, and trading and operational 20 facilities such as small arms ranges, vehicle 21 maintenance facilities, aviation support 22 facilities, border patrol checkpoints, and other 23 structures throughout the United States.

24 This slide describes the various

25 general support missions which we provide.

0018

01 Mobile Training Teams are small groups of subject 02 matter experts requested by law enforcement to 03 provide classroom and practical instruction. 04 provide 150 training teams per year in medical, firearms, intelligence, language and planning 06 techniques. Specialized training is coordinated 07 by JTF6 but provided by the U.S. Army Military 08 Police School. Examples of this include tactical 09 police operations and special reaction team 10 techniques. We participate in technology sharing 11 efforts with law enforcement agencies. Examples 12 are sensors, night vision and tunnel detection

13 technologies.

14 This slide sums up what JTF6 is all 15 about. We're a dedicated organization drawn from 16 all four services, committed to the service of 17 our nation, and supporting our law enforcement 18 agencies in the counterdrug effort. 19 This will conclude my formal briefing. 20 I'll be followed by Mr. Chris Ingram, who will 21 discuss the process we will follow in the 22 National Environmental Policy Act. Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your kind attention and 24 thank you for being here tonight. 25 MR. INGRAM: Thank you, Colonel 0019 01 Tennant. Again, my role tonight is to tell you a 02 little bit about the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA as it's commonly called, and 03 the process in which we will prepare the 05 Programmatic EIS. 06 NEPA was first passed by the U.S. 07 Congress in 1969. It requires that any federal 08 agency that is proposing to undertake an action 09 or to commit significant resources to an action, 10 that they have prepared a NEPA document. 11 purpose of a NEPA document is to provide full 12 public disclosure of the proposed action and any 13 anticipated impacts of that action. It also allows the public an opportunity to participate in the decision-making process, and it provides 15 16 the decision makers with objective, sound, 17 accurate data and impact analysis so that they can make a better and informed decision. 18 You see here there's three levels of 19 20 environmental consideration, or a NEPA document. 21 First is a categorical exclusion, or a CATEX. 22 These are very minor projects that only need a very cursory look at the potential impacts. 23 second level is environmental assessment, and the 25 third and most intense level is environmental 0020 01 impact statement which, of course, is what we 02 will be preparing. 03 Whenever an EIS is being prepared, NEPA 04 and the President's Council on Environmental Quality require that scoping be conducted and, of 05 06 course, that's why we're here tonight. 07 I'd like to go over with you a little 08 bit on the NEPA process. As I mentioned, first a federal agency will identify a need or a problem 10 that they may have. Then they'll start formulating specific actions or alternatives that 11 12 will either solve or satisfy that need or solve 13 the problem. If, as in this case, an EIS is determined to be needed, they will issue a notice 15 of intent and publish that in the Federal 16 Register. A notice of intent for this EIS was 17 published on August 28, which initiated the 18 public scoping process. This is the third of a

19 series of ten public scoping meetings we're 20 having all along the border. We will take your comments in preparing the draft document, and 22 that draft EIS will be released to the public for 23 review and comment, and you'll have a minimum of 45 days to review that and provide comments back to us, after which we'll receive your comments, 0021

01 and we'll prepare the final EIS. It, too, will 02 be released to the public for review and comment for a period of no less than 30 days. Then 04 afterwards, the record of decision will be 05 published.

06

07

09

12

13

15

18

21 22

0022

11

13

15

16

17

18

All NEPA documents have to comply with various federal and state environmental 08 regulations and statutes, not the least of which, of course, is NEPA, but there are many other 10 ones, Endangered Species packet, National 11 Historic Preservation Act, for instance on executive orders, or the recently promulgated environmental justice one that President Clinton 14 signed a couple of years ago. And, of course, there's Army and INS regulations and various 16 other state and federal regulations and other 17 permits.

INS and JTF6 have been complying with 19 all these various regulations and statutes in 20 several different ways. First of all, in 1994 they issued the final EIS and record of decision for the Programmatic EIS. This told about the entire program across the entire U.S.-Mexico 24 border area. And since then they have been 25 conducting site- or project-specific

01 environmental assessments whenever a specific 02 project is identified, or project location. 03 many of these, they've had to do cultural and 04 national resource surveys to identify sensitive 05 resources. And then for most of the major 06 engineering actions, they've also had to do 07 on-site monitoring with professional biologists 08 and archaeologists to ensure that sensitive 09 resources are not impacted by their construction 10 activities.

Now, this '94 EIS covered a five-year 12 period for INS and JTF6. That five-year period is ending, of course, in late 1999. So they decided to be a little proactive, start earlier on this process, and update or supplement that '94 document.

This document will be similar to the '94 document in that the existing conditions or 19 baseline conditions will be described in five different volumes. This information will be sent 21 to all the local and regional libraries for 22 public review. The information in these documents will be summarized and incorporated by

24 reference to the Programmatic EIS. Programmatic 25 EIS will then, of course, utilize this 0023 01 information and be developed into the draft stage 02 I mentioned awhile ago. 03 Some of the goals of the Supplemental 04 Programmatic EIS I'd like to go over is that we will identify the types of projects that INS and 06 JTF6 expect to occur over the next five years. 07 Again, this is a programmatic document, so we 08 can't address specific projects or specific 09 locations, but we're going to project out what 10 the types of projects are going to be over the 11 next five years. 12 We'll look in generic, or general terms 13 of the impacts that are associated with these types of projects, and we'll compare and discuss 15 the cumulative impacts of both the past projects, 16 now that we have all that data, as well as what 17 we expect to occur over the next five years. 18 And, of course, one main goal is to provide good, 19 sound objective data to the decision maker so 20 that they can make an informed decision. 2.1 Some of the benefits is that it 22 provides full disclosure to the public, as I 23 mentioned awhile ago. It eliminates some 24 repetitive discussions by allowing tiering to the 25 Programmatic EIS for specific documents. This 0024 01 cuts down on cost and time, so it's a savings to 02 INS, JTF6 and, of course, the American public. 03 It provides an accurate, cumulative assessment of 04 the entire program, which is fairly unique among 05 federal agencies and, of course, it maintains 06 some coordination with the state and federal 07 resource agencies and provides them with some 8 0 good, solid data that they wouldn't normally get about endangered species locations or culture 10 resource sites. 11 I want to emphasize, though, that 12 preparation of the supplemental PEIS will not 13 allow INS, JTF6 or any other agency that tiers to 14 this document to conduct a project without fully 15 complying with NEPA, the National Historic 16 Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, the 17 Water Quality Act or any other state, 18 environmental statute or regulation. They'll 19 still have to go through that process. 20 Schedule as we presently envision it. 21 As I mentioned, the notice of intent was published August 28. That initiated the public 23 scoping process. We're having the scoping 24 meetings that will conclude on -- November 5 will 25 be our tenth one. However, we'll accept your 0025

01 comments all the way up through December 16. We 02 hope to issue the draft EIS for public review and

03 comment in February of '99 for a minimum of 45 04 days, after which we intend to have a final EIS ready for public review and comment by September 05 06 of '99, and a decision in November of '99.

That concludes my presentation. going to turn it back over to Ron Ruffennach so he can discuss some of the ground rules of the comment period.

07

08

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

0026

05

06

07

09

11

13

18 19

20

21

25

0027 01

04

06

MR. RUFFENNACH: If I could, I'll ask for the lights at this time. I think they're behind you, Joe.

As Chris said in his presentation, this is one of ten meetings that's being held along the border. So far we've held two meetings. We held one in El Paso and one in Deming earlier this week. And again, there are a series of the remaining seven that are scheduled through the 20 next couple of months.

I want to emphasize that we're here to 22 take your comments, and that the subjects that 23 you see on the board -- even though we have the 24 lights on, I think people can pretty much still 25 see those -- are the general categories that will

01 be covered in the Programmatic EIS. And we're 02 here tonight to look and ask for your comments on these very broad subjects. However, we would 04 also like to take your comments on any other issues that you feel need to be included as this PEIS is developed over the next several months.

There are several reasons why we 08 conduct these meetings the same way. It's a matter of public record. These are part of the 10 public document. All ten meetings are being conducted in the same fashion with the same 12 presentations. We are providing public comment limited to five minutes. There are no questions 14 during the public comment period that will be 15 answered by anyone who is sitting up here. 16 However, we really strongly encourage you to stay afterwards and speak with a number of people who are here from the border patrol and with JTF6 who can answer and address any questions or concerns that you might have. If you want those comments and concerns part of the formal record for this 22 evening's meeting, we'll ask the court reporter 23 to stay behind for a little while afterwards, and 24 you can provide those comments directly to her. Again, however, I will emphasize that

we will be taking written comments on the PEIS 02 development through December 16, and we'll have an address at the end of this presentation, and I think it's also on your handout as to where you 05 can send those comments.

I will give you an indication when 07 you're getting close to the end of your five

08 minutes, and at that point in time I'll ask that 09 you draw your comments to closure. Again, if you 10 cannot get them in within the five minutes, we 11 ask that you please provide them to us in 12 writing, or maybe further discuss them with 13 someone or provide them to the court reporter. 14 We're taking speakers in the order in 15 which they signed up. At this point in time I 16 have two people who have asked to speak. Again, 17 if you can stand up, please state your name and 18 speak as clearly and as loudly as you can so that 19 the court reporter can hear everything. 20 Our first speaker is Mr. Enrique 21 Madrid. 22 MR. MADRID: Thank you. My name is 23 Enrique Madrid. I'm from Redford, Texas. member of the Redford Citizens Committee for 25 Justice. I just have a few short comments. 0028 01 In view of the impact that JTF6 02 operations have had on the people of Redford, 03 Texas, the Redford Citizens Committee for Justice 04 believes that there is nothing that JTF6 can do on the border that cannot be done better, 06 cheaper, safer and more wisely by civilian 07 federal and state agencies and by private 08 American business firms. We ask that the high 09 command of JTF6 begin to take whatever steps are 10 in their power to dissolve JTF6. We have a list 11 of objections and comments that we wish to submit 12 to you for consideration and for action, if I may 13 pass this on to you? 14 MR. RUFFENNACH: Yes, sir. 15 MR. MADRID: I'd like to give one for 16 each of the people at the desk, and I have other papers for members of the audience and for other 17 18 officers from INS. Thank you very much. MR. RUFFENNACH: Thank you, sir, for 19 20 your comments. 21 Our next speaker is Harold Kelly. 22 MR. KELLY: My name is Harold Kelly, 23 Alpine, Texas. I'm spokesman for the Trans-Pecos 24 Protection Group. 25 We have not received any notice of your 0029 01 intent. We have not received any environmental 02 information or documents. As far as I can tell 03 here this evening, this is a very insufficient scoping meeting. You have not complied with any 05 of the preliminaries. Thank you. 06 MR. RUFFENNACH: Thank you, Mr. Kelly. 07 Again, those are the only two speakers 08 that I had who actually requested that they be given time to speak. I will, however, ask if 10 there's anyone who heard comments this evening 11 that would now like to take five minutes and 12 provide us with some comments?

```
13
              Chris, do you have a final slide,
14 please? I apologize for it not being on the
    screen. The address, however, is on the handout
15
16 where, again, you can provide your written
17
    comments to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
18 through December 16.
19
              And let me, once again, on behalf of
20 the Joint Task Force 6 and INS thank you very
21 much for coming this evening. Again, we
 22 appreciate your comments, and I encourage you to
23 stay behind and have some dialogue with officials
24 who are here.
25
               Thank you for coming. That concludes
0030
01 our meeting.
02
               (Proceedings concluded at 7:40 p.m.)
03
04
05
06
07
80
09
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0031
01
                        CERTIFICATE
02
          I, Margaret E. Valenzuela, Certified
03
04 Shorthand Reporter of the State of Texas, do
05
    hereby certify that the above and foregoing
06 contains a true and correct transcription of the
07 Proceedings.
80
09
         Certified to on October 14, 1998.
10
11
12
12
13
                          Margaret E. Valenzuela
                          Certified Shorthand Reporter
13
14
                          Texas CSR No. 3634
14
                          Expires December 31, 1999
```

15 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 19			
20 20			
21			
21			
22			
22			
23			
23			
24			
24			
25			
25			

(23812)